

Biases From MAE-ToBI Intonational Transcription Conventions in the Intonational Analysis of African American English

Alessa Farinella, Kristine M. Yu, Alejna Brugos, and Lisa Green

UMass Amherst; Boston University

NWAV 49, October 2021

Talk video link:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8W4tsz7Fq7M>



UMass Amherst

BOSTON
UNIVERSITY



**Kristine
Yu**



**Lisa
Green**



**Alejna
Brugos**



This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under grant BCS-2042939. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.




Goals of this talk

- Highlight 3 intonational patterns we've found in African American English (AAE)
- Show how they raise multiple hypotheses about the intonational phonology of AAE
- Open a discussion about the possibilities we might consider in the analysis of these patterns



Approach to study of AAE

We assume a systems and patterns approach, where AAE is characterized as an inherently variable linguistic variety that has set syntactic, phonological, semantic, pragmatic, and lexical patterns that are intertwined with structures of Mainstream American English (MAE)



The terms African American Vernacular English (AAVE) and African American Language (AAL), among others, are also used. The terms Mainstream U.S. English (MUSE) and General American English (GAE), among others, are also used.




An AAE structure: embedded inversion



Rhonda wanted to know **could** the operator move the box a little closer

*'R. wanted to know if the operator **could** move the box a little closer'*





R. wanted to know could the operator move the box a little closer

Prosodic transcription


- Marking the Hs and Ls is the beginning of a prosodic transcription
- Helps us isolate the phonological units and start to analyze the intonational phonology of a language
- Prosodic transcription systems are language specific, based on knowledge/assumptions about the intonational phonology of the language
- Because of this, prosodic transcription is also a phonological analysis



MAE-ToBI

- Set of conventions for annotating MAE intonation, grew out of Pierrehumbert (1980) and Beckman & Pierrehumbert (1986)
- Original 1994/1997 guidelines for annotation (Beckman and Ayers)
- MAE-ToBI is just one of many prosodic transcription systems
 - Different systems for different languages, different varieties of English (e.g., GlaToBI for annotating Glasgow English (Mayo *et al.* 1997)), and even within the same variety of English (e.g., Gussenhoven 2016 for MAE)
 - Other annotation systems for MAE: PoLaR (Ahn, Veilleux, Shattuck-Hufnagel & Brugos 2019)
- Additions to ToBI:
 - Alt tier (Brugos, Veilleux, Breen, Shattuck-Hufnagel 2008)
 - Disfluency marking (Brugos, Langston, Shattuck-Hufnagel, Veilleux 2019)








R. wanted to know could the operator move the box a little closer

2 types of tones

Pitch accents (T*)

- Associated with stressed syllables



Pitch accents in MAE-ToBI

Pitch accents (T*)

Mark prominence

L*

H*


L+H*

L*+H

No falling tone in MAE-ToBI

H*+L




H+L*



Edge tones

Edge tones (T-, %T, T%)


- Associated with edges




Edge tones in MAE-ToBI

Edge tones-
Mark constituent
boundaries

- Phrase tones (T-)
 - L-
 - H-
- Boundary tones (T%)
 - L%
 - H%






2 levels of prosodic constituency:

- Intermediate phrase (ip) (T-)
- Intonational phrase (IP) T%



MAE-ToBI



← Waveform

← Pitch contour

← Tones

← Break index

← Alt

Words →

Misc →



AAE Intonation

- Some prosodic differences between African American English (AAE) and Mainstream American English (MAE):
 - **Greater pitch range** (Tarone 1973; Hudson and Holbrook 1981, 1982; Rickford 1999; Jun & Foreman 1996, a.o.)
 - **More pitch accents** (Wolfram & Thomas 2002; McLarty 2011, 2018, a.o.)
 - **Use of falsetto** (Loman 1975; Jun & Foreman 1996)
 - **More initial H tones** (Jun & Foreman 1996)
 - **More variability in boundary tones** (Jun & Foreman 1996; Holliday 2019)
 - **Level endings in yes/no questions** (Tarone 1973; Green 2002)

Applying MAE-ToBI to AAE Intonation

- Recent phonological studies of AAE intonation use MAE-ToBI
(Jun & Foreman 1996; Cole et al. 2005; Holliday 2016; McLarty 2018)
- It's a starting point, but MAE-ToBI was not developed with data from AAE
- MAE-ToBI is a tool to help us make progress toward a phonological analysis of AAE
- Identifying places where there is a lot of uncertainty (heavy use of alt tier, comments in misc tier) provides clues to help develop a phonological analysis




Case studies


3 intonational patterns:

1. High pitch accent followed by sharp fall
2. Rise-fall pattern in declaratives
3. Initial high pitch followed by compressed pitch range


1)



2)



3)




Data


- 9 adult members of an AAE-speaking community in southwest Louisiana
- Utterances were elicited with written prompts, with situational context presented auditorily and visually (N WAV 48: Green, et al. 2019, Neal et al. 2020, Green et al. submitted)
- ~800 total tokens
- Recordings segmented and forced aligned, then transcribed following the MAE ToBI conventions by one transcriber with extensive training in MAE- τ




Case study #1: High, sharp fall




Female, age 66






Frequency of 3- break indices in the data

Comparison of 3 and 3- break indices




The Accentual Phrase (AP) (Cole, Thomas, Britt, & Cogshall (2005) NWAV 34)



AAE has a smaller constituent below the ip-
the AP

La mark AP boundaries,
we don't expect the
same cues to a juncture
here

H*+L falling tone (Gussenhoven 2004; 2016)



2 potential implications:

1. AAE has a different tonal inventory than MAE (suggested by Thomas 2015)

2. AAE makes a case for an H*+L pitch accent in American Englishes




H* L- vs. H*+L

Expectations:


H* L-:

- Rise to H after the right edge of the word



H*+L:


- Rise to H after the syllable following the stressed syllable



Case Study #2: Rise fall ending



Existential *It*: I told him it's a book on the bed
'I told him there's a book on the bed'



- L+H*: Often used for contrastive focus in MAE
- Holliday (2016), McLarty (2018): greater frequency of L+H* among AAE speakers


Male, age 57



Case study #3: Initial high tone



They woulda BIN got rid of Derrick Rose



CORAAL:
DCB_se1_ag2_m_01_1,
utterance 1629


Male, b. 1989





Initial H boundary tone

- Initial %H is possible, but an "analysis of last resort" in the 1997 MAE-ToBI labeling conventions
- This could be H*, but is "they" perceived as prominent by listeners?
- Possible %H is a feature of intonational phonology of AAE (Jun & Foreman '96)



Pitch accent or boundary tone?

- Larger issue in research on intonational phonology is a question about the separation of tones into pitch accents and edge tones (see Grice 2021 for overview)
- Several researchers are questioning the assumption that pitch accents always mark prominence and edge tones remain at boundaries
- The data presented here can be brought to bear on these larger issues and help us evaluate our theories
- Theoretical linguistics and work on less well-studied varieties (in terms of intonation), like AAE, can inform each other



Conclusions

- MAE-ToBI useful for capturing generalizations about intonation in AAE
- Can help us find patterns, and identifying the places where the analysis is not so straightforward can help us make progress in the study of the intonational phonology of AAE
- Places where there's uncertainty highlight potential intonational differences between MAE and AAE
- Prosodic transcription is also a phonological analysis, and varieties of a language may differ in their intonational phonology
- This type of work on AAE has the potential to shed light on a current calling into question of fundamental issues in intonational phonology (i.e., divide between pitch accents and edge tones)



Thank you!

And thanks to...

Our southwest Louisiana speakers


University of Massachusetts Amherst Institute of Diversity Sciences seed grant

University of Massachusetts Center for the Study of African American Language

University of Massachusetts Amherst Healey/Faculty Research Grant

University of Massachusetts Amherst College of Humanities and Fine Arts
Faculty Research Grant

National Science Foundation grant BCS-2042939



Selected References

- Beckman, M. E., & Ayers, G. (1997). Guidelines for ToBI labelling. *The OSU Research Foundation*, 3, 30.
- Brugos, A., Veilleux, N., Breen, M., & Shattuck-Hufnagel, S. (2008). The Alternatives (Alt) tier for ToBI: advantages of capturing prosodic ambiguity. In *Proceedings of Speech Prosody* (Vol. 2008, pp. 273-276).
- Brugos, A., Langston, A., Shattuck-Hufnagel, S., & Veilleux, N. (2019). A cue-based approach to prosodic disfluency annotation. In *Proceedings of the 19th International Congress of Phonetic Sciences, Melbourne, Australia* (pp. 3413-3417).
- Cole, Jennifer, Erik R. Thomas, Erica R. Britt, and Elizabeth L. Coggshall. (2005). "Intonational Distinctiveness of African American English." Paper presented at New Ways of Analyzing Variation (NWAV) 34, New York, Oct. 20–23.
- Green, L. J. (2002). *African American English: a linguistic introduction*. Cambridge University Press.
- Gussenhoven, C. (2004). The phonology of tone and intonation.
- Gussenhoven, C. (2016). Analysis of intonation: The case of MAE_ToBI. *Laboratory Phonology: Journal of the Association for Laboratory Phonology*, 7(1).
- Holliday, N. R. (2016). *Intonational variation, linguistic style and the black/biracial experience* (Doctoral dissertation, New York University).
- Holliday, N. (2021). Prosody and Sociolinguistic Variation in American Englishes. *Annual Review of Linguistics*, 7, 55-68.
- Kendall, Tyler and Charlie Farrington. (2021). *The Corpus of Regional African American Language*. Version 2021.07. Eugene, OR: The Online Resources for African American Language Project. <http://oraal.uoregon.edu/coraal>.
- McLarty, J. (2018). African American language and European American English intonation variation over time in the American South. *American Speech: A Quarterly of Linguistic Usage*, 93(1), 32-78.
- Neal, A., Whitmal, A., Green, L., Yu, K. M., & Özyıldız, D. (2020). Investigation of the Effect of Contextual Factors on BIN Production in AAE. *University of Pennsylvania Working Papers in Linguistics*, 26(2), 12.
- Tarone, E. E. (1973). Aspects of intonation in Black English. *American Speech*, 48(1/2), 29-36.
- Thomas, E. R. (2015). Prosodic features of African American English. *The Oxford Handbook of African American Language*, 420-435.
- Weldon, T. L. (2021). *Middle-class African American English*. Cambridge University Press.