Intonational phonology in Bengali and English infant-directed speech

Kristine M. Yu, University of Massachusetts Amherst **Sameer ud Dowla Khan,** Reed College **Megha Sundara,** University of California Los Angeles

Speech Prosody 7, Trinity College Dublin Friday 23 May 2014

Hypothesis: affect and grammar

- How do we build the intonational contour of an utterance?
 - Grammatical structure?
 - Social context / affect?
- Prosodic choices are conditioned on both
- How do we know?
- Case study: Infant-directed speech in Bengali and English

Infant-directed speech (IDS): English Adult-directed speech 650 Hz ୀ 🃢 150 Hz tones $L + H^*$ H*H-H* H* Word Wind disputing whic was th Sun Τ North an t wer stronger (13) 650 Hz Infant-directed speech 150 Hz tones $L + H^*$ H + !H*L-H* !H* H + !H*Word Wind an disputing whic was th Гhe North Sun we stronger

(12)

Infant-directed speech (IDS): Bengali





Background

Infant-directed speech (IDS)

 IDS prosody is traditionally analyzed from an acoustic-phonetic approach¹

- Expansion of fo range via raising of fo max
- Increase in fo variability, e.g. sinusoidal, bellshaped contours
- Exaggeration of contours

These manipulations maintain infant attention, elicit positive emotional rapport²

¹ Jacobson et al. 1983, Stern et al. 1983, Fernald & Simon 1984, Fernald et al. 1989, Fernald & Mazzie 1991, Greiser & Kuhl 1998, Masataka 1999 2 Stern et al. 1982

Grammatical structure in intonation

Intonation is also grammatically structured

- Finite inventory of discrete tonal elements
- Hierarchical prosodic structure
- **Predictable variation** in tones (allotones)
- **Phonotactic grammar** of licit tonal sequences
- Semantic/pragmatic motivation for choice of tonal elements

Hierarchical prosodic structure



Hypothesis

- Attentional/emotional context and grammar jointly constrain fo modulation
 - Prosodic choices within intonational grammar motivated by attentional/emotional context
 - Prosodic choices within attentional/emotional context constrained by intonational grammar





 Increase in tonal categories highlighting information structure

Constrained by lg-specific grammar

- Bengali: 5 pitch accents
 - L* (low)
 - H* (high)
 - L*+H (rising)

fH* (super-H + compression)

- L*+fH (super-H rising + cmp)
- Bengali: 5 boundary tones
 - L% (low fall) LH% (low rise)

H% (high rise) HL% (high fall) HLH% (high fall-rise)

 Increase in tonal categories highlighting information structure

Constrained by lg-specific grammar

Bengali: 5 pitch accents

 L* (low)
 H* (high)
 L*+H (rising)
 Bengali: 5 boundary tones

L% (low fall) LH% (low rise) H% (high rise) HL% (high fall) HLH% (high fall-rise) These mark focus

 Increase in tonal categories highlighting information structure

Constrained by lg-specific grammar

- Bengali: 5 pitch accents
 - L* (low)
 - H* (high)
 - L*+H (rising)

fH* (super-H + compression)

- L*+fH (super-H rising + cmp)
- Bengali: 5 boundary tones

L% (low fall) LH% (low rise) H% (high rise) HL% (high fall) HLH% (high fall-rise)

 Increase in tonal categories highlighting information structure

Constrained by lg-specific grammar

- Bengali: 5 pitch accents
 - L* (low)
 - H* (high)
 - L*+H (rising)

fH* (super-H + compression)

L*+fH (super-H rising + cmp)

- Bengali: 5 boundary tones
 - L% (low fall)
 - LH% (low rise)

H% (high rise)

HL% (high fall)

→ HLH% (high fall-rise)

These are continuation rises

Experimental design

Design: subjects

O 20 subjects

- 10 speakers of English (5M, 5F)
- 10 speakers of Bengali (5M, 5F)

• All were parents

- English: parents of 4.5-mo-olds
- Bengali: parents of young children

Design: materials

• Recorded "North Wind and Sun" fable

- Suitable for adult speech and IDS
- Similar semantics/pragmatics across languages
- Consistent semantics, morphosyntax, segmental phonology across styles
- Used in studies of speech rhythm & prosody

এক দিন উত্তর হাওয়া এবং সূর্য তর্ক করছিল তাদের মধ্যে কে বেশি শক্তিমান। সেই মুহূর্তে ভারী চাদর পরা একজন পথিক তাদের দিকে হেঁটে আসে। হাওয়া আর সূর্য রাজি হয় তাদের মধ্যে যে সেই পথিকের গায়ের চাদর খোলাতে পারে, তাকেই বেশি শক্তিমান ধার্য করা হবে। এর

Design: styles

Two styles

- **Default reading** (non-IDS): "Read at a comfortable pace."
- Simulated infant-directed reading (IDS):
 "Read as if speaking to your 4-mo-old child."
 - Same text, illustrated with childlike drawings
 - Stuffed animals arranged around speaker



Experiment: annotation

English MAE_ToBI¹ annotation

- 2 transcribers without knowledge of study
- Bengali B-ToBI² annotation
 - 1 transcriber so far (2nd author)

¹ Beckman et al. 2005 ² Khan 2008, 2014

Analysis

Acoustic-phonetic measurements fo min, max, range, standard deviation

O Phonological data collection

- Inventory of tones
- Number of pitch accents and boundary tones
- Frequency of different tonal categories

• Statistics

Mixed effects logistic and poisson regression

Results

Preview of results

- What's the **same across styles**:
 - 1) For each lg., IDS and non-IDS can both be analyzed using the **same prosodic model**
- What **differs across styles**:
 - 2) IDS has wider pitch range (higher max)
 - 3) IDS has a higher proportion of certain tones
 - 4) IDS has more IPs
 - 5) IDS has more complex tones

we'll come back to this in the discussion

expected

2) Pitch range

- All Bengali
 speakers raised
 the fo max in IDS
- Higher fo variability in IDS
- Same pattern seen in English
- Replicates previous studies
- Validation of simulated IDS



Preview of English-specific results

• English IDS involves:

- Increase in L+H* pitch accent
- Increase in IPs

3) English: pitch accents

No change in number of PAs between styles
 Speakers increased the number and

 Speakers increased the number and proportion of L+H* in IDS

• non-IDS 20.8% vs. IDS 30.1%

Frequency of tones conditioned on speaker and style, T1



4) English: IPs

On average, English speakers produced
 30.5% (=12) more IPs in IDS

Style non-ids ids



by spkr.

4) English: IPs



And so the NW was obliged to confess that the Sun was the stronger of the two



Preview of Bengali-specific results

o Bengali IDS involves:

- Decrease in pitch accents overall
- Increase in 2 PA types: fH*, L+fH*
- Increase in IPs
- Increase in HL% and HLH% boundary tones

3) Bengali: pitch accents

- f-marked pitch accent
 use is higher in IDS for^{0.15-}
 all but one speaker
 - fH*
 - L*+fH



3) Bengali: pitch accents





4) Bengali: IPs

On average, Bengali speakers produced
 49.0% (= 8.97) more IPs in IDS



4) Bengali: IPs



3) Bengali: boundary tones

 The increase in IPs can be largely attributed to increases in those ending in:

- HL% (high falling)
- HLH% (high falling-rising)

IP tone L%

H%

LH%

HL%

HLH% M%

% ambig



3) Bengali: boundary tones

Summary of results

• True for IDS in both languages:

- No increase in number of PAs overall
- Increase in number within **subset of PAs**
 - L+fH* and fH* in Bengali
 - L+H* in English
- Increase in number of IPs
 - o Certain boundary tones were more common
- So, why do we see *these* modifications?

Discussion

Why: PAs engage infant

- Why does IDS involve an increase in nondefault accents?
 - English bitonal PAs, esp. L+H*
 - Bengali H*, fH*, and L*+fH
- More pitch variation, to engage the infant's interest¹
- More tones involving expanded pitch range as infants prefer higher pitch²

¹ Fernald 1991, Werker & McLeod 1989 ² Kearsley 1973, Fernald & Kuhl 1981

Why: PAs mark info structure

- So why don't all pitch accents in IDS become high/rising?
- Change in distribution is **restricted**
- These particular high/rising tones mark
 focused elements¹

Why: PAs mark info structure

○ Use of fH*, L*+fH in Bengali increases for:

- Wh-words, words with focus enclitics
- **Modifiers**, e.g. warm, immediately
- Use of L+H* in English increases for:
 - **Turning points** on subject arguments:
 - "… the North Wind gave up the attempt. Then the <u>Sun</u> shined out warmly."
 - Alternatives on a scale, e.g. more, stronger, first

→ Greater use of focus prosody in IDS¹

¹IDS also involves greater use of focus movement in the syntax (Fernald & Mazzie 199#).

Why: phrasing engages infant

- Why does IDS involve an **increase in IPs**?
- More IP boundary tones means more tones involving expanded pitch range...
- o ... and more pitch variation

Why: phrasing marks info structure

• Why else does IDS involve **more IPs**?

- IP breaks help demarcate syntactic structure
- IP boundary tones convey information structure

Why: phrasing marks info structure

- Not all IP boundary tones are increased in use in IDS (at least in Bengali)
 - L% is less common in IDS
- Those whose use is increased include:
 - LH%, HLH%: continuation rises
 - H%, HL%: backgrounding/topicalization
- More explicit marking of information structure in IDS

• We compared **IDS vs. non-IDS**:

- IDS has more tones with greater pitch range and modulation, which can elicit / maintain attention and build rapport
- IDS has more explicit marking of info structure

• We compared **Bengali vs. English**:

- Bengali uses more boundary tones with more inflection points
- Bengali uses more **topic-marking** tones
- English has more IPs in IDS, but distribution of different boundary tones remains constant

- Growing literature on role of grammar in constraining IDS in lexical tone/LPA lgs (Mandarin¹, Thai², Japanese³)
- First such study on languages without lexical tonal contrasts

Intonation is conditioned by both attentional/emotional motivations and grammatical structure

¹Liu et al (2007), ²Kitamura et al. (2002), ³Igarashi et al (2013)

Acknowledgments

Many thanks to our transcribers, our speakers, and everyone in the audience!

#